

CSCI 340: Computational Models

# Languages

# What is a Language?

- English: “letters”, “words”, “sentences”
- Programming: “keywords”, “variables”, “numbers”, “symbols”
- General: *language structure* – decision of whether a given string of units is “matched” or *valid*

# Important Terms

- *alphabet* – finite set of fundamental units out of which we build structures.
- *language* – a certain specified set of strings of characters from the alphabet
- *words* – strings which are permissible in the language
- *empty string* or *null string* – a string which has no letters ( $\lambda$ )
- *null set* – denoted as  $\emptyset$

## Question

Is there a difference between empty string and an empty language?

# An Aside on Set Theory

## Assume

- $L$  is a language
- $+$  is “union of sets” operator
- $\emptyset$  is empty set
- $\lambda$  is empty string

## Claim 1

$$L + \{\lambda\} \neq L$$

## Claim 2

$$L + \emptyset = L$$

This implies that  $\emptyset$  is a valid definition for a language

# The English Languages

## Alphabet

$$\Sigma = \{a b c d e \dots z' -\}$$

## Words

*ENGLISH-WORDS* = {all the words in a standard dictionary}

**Problem:** How can we represent sentences?

# The *Real* English Languages

## Alphabet

$\Gamma = \text{entries of } \textit{ENGLISH-WORDS} + \{\textit{space}\} + \{\textit{punctuation}\}$

## Words (a.k.a. English Sentences)

- Must rely on grammatical rules of English
- There are *infinitely many*
  - I ate one apple.
  - I ate two apples.
  - I ate three apples.
  - .....

We can list all rules of the grammar to give a *finite description* for an *infinite language*. This will make “I ate three Tuesdays” valid!

# Defining a Language

## Language Defining Rules

- 1 Tell us how to test a string of alphabet letters that we are presented with
- 2 Tell us how to construct all of the words in the language by some clear procedure

## Example

$$\Sigma = \{x\}$$

$$L_1 = \{x \ xx \ xxx \ xxxx \ \dots\}$$

alternatively,

$$L_1 = \{x^n \text{ for } n = 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ \dots\}$$

# Working with a Language

## Null String?

A language does not need to accept  $\lambda$ .  $L_1$  doesn't

## Concatenation

- Two strings written side by side yield a new string
- $x^n$  concatenated with  $x^m$  is  $x^{n+m}$

## Symbols

- We can designate a word in a given language by a new symbol
  - Let  $a = xx$  and  $b = xxx$
  - Therefore,  $ab = xxxxxx$
- Two words of  $L$  concatenated are not guaranteed to produce another word in  $L$



# Example: Numbers

## Example

$$\Sigma = \{0\ 1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\}$$

$$L_3 = \{ \text{any finite string of } \Sigma \text{ letters that doesn't start with } 0 \}$$

A subset of  $L_3$  might *look like*:

$$L_3 = \{1\ 2\ 3\ 4\ 5\ 6\ 7\ 8\ 9\ 10\ 11\ 12\ \dots\}$$

If we want to allow the string (word) 0, we could say:

$$L_3 = \{ \text{any finite string of } \Sigma \text{ letters that, if it starts with } 0, \\ \text{has no more letters after the first } \}$$

## Example: Length

We define the function **length** of a string to be the number of letters in the string. We write this function using the word “length”. For example, if  $a = xxxx$  in the language  $L_1$ , then

$$\text{length}(a) = 4$$

Or we could write directly that in a language, such as  $L_3$ ,

$$\text{length}(428) = 3$$

In any language which includes  $\lambda$  we have

$$\text{length}(\lambda) = 0$$

Corollary: For any word  $w$  in a language, if  $\text{length}(w) = 0$ , then  $w = \lambda$

# Redefining Number with **length**

We can present another definition for  $L_3$

$$L_3 = \{ \text{any finite string of } \Sigma \text{ letters that, if it has} \\ \text{length more than 1, does not start with a 0} \}$$

This isn't necessarily a better definition, but it illustrates equivalent languages can be defined in multiple ways.

## Adding $\lambda$ to a finite language

If we look back to  $L_1$ , which described one or more “x” characters defining valid words, we may want to expand the language to include *empty string*

$$L_4 = \{\lambda x xx xxx xxxx \dots\}$$

Alternatively,

$$L_4 = \{x^n \text{ for } n = 0 1 2 3 \dots\}$$

**Notice:**  $x^0 = \lambda$

# Example: Reverse

## Definition

Let us introduce the function **reverse**. If  $a$  is a word in some language,  $L$ , then  $\text{reverse}(a)$  is the same string of letters spelled backward even if this backwards string is not a word in  $L$ .

## Example

$$\text{reverse}(xxx) = xxx$$

$$\text{reverse}(xxxxx) = xxxxx$$

$$\text{reverse}(145) = 541$$

But let us also note that in  $L_1$ ,

$$\text{reverse}(140) = 041$$

which is not a word in  $L_1$

# Example: Palindrome Language

## Definition

PALINDROME ( $P$ ) is a new language over the alphabet

$$\Sigma = \{a b\}$$

$$P = \{\lambda, \text{and all strings } x \mid \text{reverse}(x) = x\}$$

$\therefore$

$$P = \{\lambda a b a a b b a a a a b a b a b b b a a a a a b b a \dots\}$$

## Interesting Properties

- 1 *concatenating* two words from  $P$  sometimes produces a word within  $P$ . e.g.  $abba + abba = abbaabba$
- 2 More often than not, *concatenating* two words from  $P$  does not yield a word within  $P$ . e.g.  $aa + aba = aaaba$

# Kleene Closure (or the Kleene Star)

## Definition

- Given an alphabet  $\Sigma$ , we wish to define a language in which any string of letters from  $\Sigma$  is a word, even the null string  $\lambda$ .
- This language shall be known as the **closure** of the alphabet.
- Symbolically denoted as:  $\Sigma^*$

## Example

If  $\Sigma = \{x\}$ , then  $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda x xx xxx xxxx \dots\}$

## Example

If  $\Sigma = \{0 1\}$ , then  $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda 0 1 00 01 10 11 000 001 \dots\}$

## Example

If  $\Sigma = \{a b c\}$ , then  $\Sigma^* = \{\lambda a b c aa ab ac ba bb bc ca cb cc aaa \dots\}$

# Kleene Closure

- an **operation** that makes an infinite language or strings of letters out of an alphabet
- infinitely many words, each of a finite length
- often ordered by *size* first, then *lexicographically*

## Definition

If  $S$  is a set of words, then  $S^*$  means the set of all finite strings formed by **concatenating** words from  $S$ . Any word may be used as often as we like, and  $\lambda$  is also included.

## Problem

Compare:

ENGLISH-WORDS\* and ENGLISH-SENTENCES



# Kleene Closure

## Example

$$S = \{a a b\}$$
$$S^* = ?$$

## Example

$$S = \{a a b\}$$
$$S^* = ?$$

To prove that a certain word is in the closure language  $S^*$ , we must show how it can be written as a **concatenation** of words from the base set  $S$ .

# Factor

The **concatenation** of words from a base set  $S$  can be viewed as a *factor* of a word from *closure* set  $S^*$

## Example

$$S = \{xx\ xxx\}$$

$$S^* = \{x^n \text{ for } n = 0\ 2\ 3\ 4\ \dots\}$$

Notice how the word  $x$  is the only word not in the language  $S^*$

There is also ambiguity in factoring certain strings e.g.  $xxxxxxx$

$$(xx)(xx)(xxx) \text{ or } (xx)(xxx)(xx) \text{ or } (xxx)(xx)(xx)$$

How can we **prove** that  $S$  only contains repetitions of letter  $x$  not equal to size of 1?

# Proving $S^*$ contains all $x^n \mid n \neq 1$

## Example

$$S = \{xx\ xxx\}$$

$$S^* = \{x^n \text{ for } n = 0\ 2\ 3\ 4\ \dots\}$$

## Proof (by constructive algorithm).

**Base:**  $x^0 = \lambda$

**Base:**  $x^2 = xx$

**Base:**  $x^3 = xxx$

**Factor:**  $x^4 = x^2 + x^2$

**Factor:**  $x^5 = x^3 + x^2$

$$x^{n+2} = x^n + x^2$$



# Kleene Closure

The Kleene closure of two sets can end up being the **same language**

## Example

$$S = \{a b a b\}$$

$$T = \{a b b b\}$$

- Both  $S^*$  and  $T^*$  define languages of all strings of  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's.
- Any string of  $a$ 's and  $b$ 's can be factored into syllables ( $a$ ) and ( $b$ )

Consider *ababbabba* and *abababbbb*

## + Notation

If for some reason we wish to modify the concept of closure to refer to only the concatenation of some *non-zero* strings from a set  $S$ , we use the notation  $^+$  instead of  $^*$

### Example

$$\text{If } \Sigma = \{x\}, \quad \text{then } \Sigma^+ = \{x \ xx \ xxx \ \dots\}$$

- This is often referred to as *positive closure* (“one-or-more”)
- If  $S$  is a language which contains  $\lambda$ , then  $S^+ = S^*$
- If  $S$  is a language which doesn't contain  $\lambda$ , then  $S^+ = S^* - \{\lambda\}$

# Double Closure

Given  $S^*$ , apply its closure:  $(S^*)^*$

- If  $S$  is not  $\emptyset$  or  $\{\lambda\}$ , then  $S^*$  is infinite
- We will be taking the *closure* of an infinite set
- Arbitrary concatenation of the alphabet, applied *twice*

Proving  $S^* = S^{**}$  (by construction).

$$S = \{ab\}$$

$$s = aababaaaaaba$$

$$s = (aba)(baaa)(aba)$$

$$s = [(a)(a)(b)(a)][(b)(a)(a)(a)][(a)(a)(b)(a)]$$

$$s = (a)(a)(b)(a)(b)(a)(a)(a)(a)(b)(a)$$

$$S^{**} \subset S^*$$

$$S^* \subset S^{**}$$

$$S^* = S^{**}$$

[arbitrary string]

[constructed from  $S^*$ ]

[constructed from  $S^{**}$ ]

[converted from  $S^{**}$  to  $S^*$ ]

$[\forall e \in S^{**}, e \in S^*]$

$[\forall e \in S^*, e \in S^{**}]$

□

# Homework 1a

- 1 Consider the language  $S^*$ , where  $S = \{aa b\}$ . How many words does this language have of length 4? of length 5? of length 6? What can be said in general?
- 2 Consider the language  $S^*$ , where  $S = \{aa aba baa\}$ . Show that the words  $aabaa$ ,  $baaabaaa$ , and  $baaaaababaaaa$  are all in this language. Can any word in this language be interpreted as a string of elements from  $S$  in two different ways? Can any word in this language have an odd total number of  $a$ 's?
- 3 Prove that for all sets  $S$ ,
  - 1  $(S^+)^* = (S^*)^*$
  - 2  $(S^+)^+ = S^+$
  - 3 Is  $(S^*)^+ = (S^+)^*$  for all sets  $S$ ?