

OCaml: Higher-Order Functions

Programming Languages

William Killian

Millersville University

Outline

- Higher-Order Functions
 - Definition
 - Anonymous Functions
- Bonus: Bindings \Leftrightarrow Anonymous Functions

Higher Order Functions (HOFs)

- **Functions that either**

- Accept one (or more) functions as parameters
- Return a function as a result

- Functions accepting functions as parameters?

- Functions returning functions?



Why Use Higher-Order Functions?

- Composition
 - We can first create smaller functions that solve simple problems
 - Then we can compose them together to solve complex problems
- Reduces bugs
- Improves readability
- Enables generic programming / reuse

Example: map

We have already written one HOF: map

```
let rec map f l =  
  match l with  
  | [] -> []  
  | h::t -> (f h)::(map f t)
```

f : 'a -> 'b

l : 'a list

returns : 'b list

Without map...

```
let rec map_float_of_int l =  
  match l with  
  | [] -> []  
  | h::t ->  
    (float_of_int h)::(map_float_of_int l)
```

```
let rec map_string_of_float l =  
  match l with  
  | [] -> []  
  | h::t ->  
    (string_of_float h)::(map_string_of_float l)
```

With map...

```
let rec map f l =  
  match l with  
  | [] -> []  
  | h::t -> (f h)::(map f t)
```

```
let map_float_of_int l =  
  map float_of_int l
```

```
let map_string_of_float l =  
  map string_of_float l
```

A More Complex Example

Given a list of integers, I want to:

1. Convert them to a float
2. Then convert the floats to a string

Essentially:

`data` → `float_of_int` → `string_of_float`

`[1;2;3]` → `[1.0;2.0;3.0]` → `["1.0";"2.0";"3.0"]`

A More Complex Example

```
let complex l =  
  map string_of_float (map float_of_int l)
```

```
let complex l =  
  map (fun x -> string_of_float (float_of_int x)) l
```

- Both are equivalent in what they do
- The top must call **map** twice
- The bottom must call **map** only once

data → float_of_int → string_of_float

fun – a function by no-name

We usually write bindings as:

```
let add x y = x + y
```

But we can write:

```
let add = fun x y -> x + y
```

fun is used to indicate that we have a function

- But this function has no name.
- This is called an anonymous (or *lambda*) function

Revisiting the Complex Example

```
let complex l =  
  map string_of_float (map float_of_int l)
```

```
let complex l =  
  map (fun x -> string_of_float (float_of_int x)) l
```

Now if only we could get rid of some of these parens...

Remember, we want to emulate the following:

data → float_of_int → string_of_float

Revisiting the Complex Example

Now if only we could get rid of some of these parens...

```
let complex l = l
  |> map float_of_int
  |> map string_of_float
```

```
let complex l =
  map
    (fun x -> float_of_int x |> string_of_float)
  l
```

The Pipeline Operator `|>`

- Probably one of the coolest functions ever(?)
- Super short definition:
`let (|>) a f = f a`
- Swaps the position of the first argument with the function name. This is known as a “data-first” pattern
- This means the function’s first argument comes before the `|>` operator
- Evaluation now “in-order” left-to-right

The Pipeline Operator in Use

```
[-1.2; 1.0; 0.5; 3.5; -5.5; 0.75; 4.2; 0.31]
```

```
let magic (l:float list) = l
  |> List.filter (fun x -> x >= 0.0)
  |> List.filter (fun x -> x <= 1.0)
  |> List.map (fun x -> x *. 100.0)
  |> List.map int_of_float
  |> List.map string_of_int
  |> List.map (fun x -> x ^ " ")
      (* string concatenation *)
  |> List.fold_left (^) ""
```

The Pipeline Operator not in Use

```
[-1.2; 1.0; 0.5; 3.5; -5.5; 0.75; 4.2; 0.31]
```

```
let magic (l:float list) = l
  List.fold_left (^) ""
  (List.map (fun x -> x ^ " "))
  (List.map string_of_int
  (List.map int_of_float
  (List.map (fun x -> x * 100.0)
  (List.filter (fun x -> x <= 1.0)
  (List.filter (fun x -> x >= 0.0)
  l))))))
```

Revisiting (Local) Bindings

let *x* = *e* **in** *expr*

can be rewritten as:

(**fun** *x* -> *expr*) (*e*)

In fact, it's what the interpreter does!

let *x* = 5 **in**

let *y* = *x* * 2 **in**

x + *y*

Revisiting Local Bindings - Trace

`let x = 5 in let y = x * 2 in x + y`

`let x = 5 in let y = x * 2 in x + y`

`(fun x -> let y = x * 2 in x + y) (5)`

`(fun x -> let y = x * 2 in x + y) (5)`

`(fun x -> (fun y -> x + y) (x * 2)) (5)`

`(fun x -> (fun y -> x + y) (x * 2)) (5)`